A Dual-Directional Path-loss Model in 5G Wireless Fractal Small Cell Networks

Jiaqi Chen*, Fen Bin*, Xiaohu Ge*, Qiang Li*, Cheng-Xiang Wang†
* School of Electronic Information & Communications
Huazhong University of Science & Technology.
† School of Engineering & Physical Sciences
Heriot-Watt University.
Corresponding Author: Xiaohu Ge, Email: xhge@mail.hust.edu.cn

arXiv:1612.04463v1 [cs.NI] 14 Dec 2016

***Abstract*—With the anticipated increase in the number of low power base stations (BSs) deployed in small cell networks, blockage effects becoming more sensitive on wireless transmissions over high spectrums, variable propagation fading scenarios make it hard to describe coverage of small cell networks. In this paper, we propose a dual-directional path loss model cooperating with Line-of-Sight (LoS) and Non-Line-of-Sight (NLoS) transmissions for the fifth generation (5G) fractal small cell networks. Based on the proposed path loss model, a LoS transmission probability is derived as a function of the coordinate azimuth of the BS and the distance between the mobile user (MU) and the BS. Moreover, the coverage probability and the average achievable rate are analyzed for 5G fractal small cell networks. Numerical results imply that the minimum intensity of blockages and the maximum intensity of BSs can not guarantee the maximum average achievable rate in 5G fractal small cell networks. Our results explore the relationship between the anisotropic path loss fading and the small cell coverage in 5G fractal small cell networks.**

I. Introduction

It is now widely accepted that the fifth generation (5G) cellular networks will have significant changes that include low-power base stations (BSs) and dense deployments, higher spectrums, massive bandwidths and large numbers of antennas [1]–[3]. With the anticipated increase in the number of low-power BSs deployed in small cell networks, variable propagation losses make it hard to predict coverage of small cell networks. Moreover, blockage effects become more sensitive for wireless communication systems with higher spectrums [4] such that the user association and the system performance become more seriously. In general, blockage effects is contained by a shadowing fading modeled as a log-normal distributed random variable with a uniform path loss exponent. However, different path loss exponents of special environments [5], [6] and distance-dependence of blockage effects [7] are ignored in the traditional performance analysis of cellular networks.

Due to the deployment of a variety of low-power BSs adopting millimeter wave (mmWave) technologies, the coverage of small cell networks is less regular. In 5G small cell networks, wireless transmissions are often divided into Line-of-Sight (LoS) and Non-Line-of-Sight (NLoS) wireless transmissions. Up to now, a simplistic path loss model with a fixed path loss exponent in the entire plane is widely considered in previous literatures [8] [9] [10]. These studies focused on random heterogeneous cellular networks, but the difference of LoS and NLoS wireless transmissions is ignored in cellular networks. The difference between LoS and NLoS wireless transmissions was investigated by path loss models in [7] [11]–[13]. A path loss model incorporated blockage effects was proposed in [7], which matched experimental trends that the distribution of the number of blockages in a link was proved to be a Poisson random variable with parameters depending on the length of links. Based on the results in [7], different LoS and NLoS path loss laws with distance dependent LOS probability functions were used to analyze the mmWave performance of coverages and transmission rates [11]. A multi-slope path loss models were proposed in [12], where different distance ranges were subject to different path loss exponents. The impact of a sophisticated piece-wise path loss model with probabilistic LoS and NLoS transmissions on the performance of dense small cell networks was investigated in [13], where the LoS probability was assumed as a linear function with the distance between a mobile user (MU) and a BS.

It was proved that the practical coverage of cellular networks has the fractal characteristic due to anisotropic path loss exponents [14]. Measurement results of path loss exponent in [15] indicated that the path loss exponent varies with the angles of the receiver relative to the BS. In fact, the probability of LoS transmission in a link is associated with the distance of the link and the direction of the link relative to the BS. In this paper, based on the fractal characteristics of 5G wireless small cell networks, a dual-directional path loss model is proposed in which the probability of LoS transmission is derived by the coordinate azimuth of a BS and the distance between a MU and the BS. Moreover, all studies in [7] [11]–[13] assumed that a user is associated with the nearest BS, or the nearest visible BS. Considering the random blockage effect and the large number of low-power BSs, the channel state of the invisible BS is better than the state of the visible BS if the distance of an invisible BS is shorter than the nearest visible BS. Based on the analysis result of channel states, a coverage probability and an average achievable rate are derived for 5G fractal small cell networks accounting for the proposed dual-directional path loss model. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

This paper has submitted to IEEE ICC 2017.

1) A dual-directional path loss model incorporating both LoS and NLoS transmissions is proposed for 5G wireless fractal small cell networks.
2) Based on the proposed dual-directional path loss model, the coverage probability and the average achievable rate are derived for 5G wireless fractal small cell networks.
3) Numerical results indicate that the average achievable rate increases with the increase of the intensity of BSs and the decrease of the intensity of blockages, respectively. However, the minimum intensity of blockages and the maximum intensity of BSs can not guarantee to achieve the maximum average achievable rate in 5G fractal small cell networks.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section II describes the system model. The coverage probability and the average achievable rate are presented in Section III. The numerical results are discussed in Section IV. Finally, the conclusions are drawn in Section VII.

II. System Model

In this paper, BSs and rectangle blockages are assumed to be independently and randomly deployed in a plane. Moreover, the main assumptions of 5G fractal small cell networks are summarized as follows.

Assumption 1: BSs are assumed to be deployed in the infinite plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ according to a homogenous Poisson point process (PPP) Φ_B of intensity λ_B, which is denoted as

$$\Phi_B = \{x_i, i = 1, 2, 3, ...\}, \tag{1}$$

where x_i is a two dimensional Cartesian coordinate, denoting the location of BS_i. x_i is further extended as a Polar coordinate, i.e., (r_i, θ_i), where r_i is the distance between BS_i and the coordinate origin, and satisfies $r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < \cdots < r_{i-1} < r_i < \cdots$, θ_i is the coordinate azimuth of BS_i.

Assumption 2: Blockages are assumed to form a Boolean scheme of rectangles [7]. The centers of the rectangles form a homogeneous PPP Φ_C of intensity λ_C, which is denoted as

$$\Phi_C = \{y_j, j = 1, 2, 3, ...\}, \tag{2}$$

where y_j denotes the location of the center of a rectangle in a two dimensional Cartesian coordinate. The length l_j and width w_j of a rectangle are independent each other. The coordinate azimuth of a rectangle is denoted as φ_j and is uniformly distributed in $[0, 2\pi)$. To simplify calculations, the length l_j, width w_j and coordinate azimuth φ_j are assumed to be constants l, w and φ, respectively. Hence, in this paper the rectangle blockages can be modeled by marked Poisson point process $\Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}$.

Assumption 3: To evaluate the received signal at a typical MU, in this paper the MU is assumed to be located at the coordinate origin. Channel models of BSs are assumed to be governed by independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) Rayleigh fading models. Furthermore, the received signal power P_i at the typical MU from BS_i can be expressed as

$$P_i = P_T L_i h_i, \tag{3}$$

where h_i denotes the Rayleigh fading between the typical MU and BS_i, which is distributed as an exponential distribution with the mean of 1 [16]. For simplicity but without loss of generality, all BSs are assumed to transmit with the same transmission power P_T. L_i denotes the path loss between the typical MU and BS_i. Moreover, the 5G wireless fractal small cell network is assumed to be interference limited and thermal noise is ignored. The system model of 5G wireless fractal small cell networks is illustrated as Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. The system model of 5G wireless fractal small cell networks.

Assumption 4: A MU is assumed to associate with the BS from which the MU receives the maximum signal power [17]. Without loss of generality, the BS associated with the typical MU is denoted as BS_k, where

$$k = \arg\max_{i \in \Phi_B} P_i. \tag{4}$$

The path loss is associated with the distance and direction of the link relative to the BS. The wireless transmission between the typical MU and BS_i is NLoS when blockages are existed in the link, whereas the wireless transmission is LoS. Thus, the dual-directional path loss L_i considering differences between LoS and NLoS wireless transmissions is expressed as

$$L_i\left(x_i, \Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}\right) = \begin{cases} {r_i}^{-\alpha_{LoS}}, & with\ \Pr_i^{LoS}\left(x_i, \Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}\right) \\ {r_i}^{-\alpha_{NLoS}}, & with\ \Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i, \Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}\right) \end{cases}, \tag{5}$$

where α_{LoS} and α_{NLoS} are LoS and NLoS wireless transmission path loss exponents, respectively. And two path loss exponents satisfy $\alpha_{LoS} < \alpha_{NLoS}$. $\Pr_i^{LoS}\left(x_i, \Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}\right) = \Pr_i^{LoS}\left(x_i\right)$ and $\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i, \Phi_C \times \{l, w, \varphi\}\right) = \Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)$ denote probabilities of LoS and NLoS wireless transmissions between the typical MU and BS_i, respectively. $\Pr\{\cdot\}$ is a probability operation.

III. Coverage Probability and Average Achievable Rate

In this section, the coverage probability and the average achievable rate of 5G wireless fractal small cell networks are

derived based on dual-directional path loss model.

A. Coverage Probability

The coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network is defined as the probability that the received signal-to-interference-ratio (SIR) at the typical MU is larger than a threshold value T. The general expression of SIR at the typical MU associated with BS_k can be expressed as

$$SIR_k = \frac{P_k}{\sum\limits_{\Phi_B, i\neq k} P_i} = \frac{L_k h_k}{\sum\limits_{\Phi_B, i\neq k} L_i h_i} = \frac{L_k h_k}{I_k}, \tag{6}$$

where P_i and $h_i\,(x_i \in \Phi_B, i \neq k)$ denote the received signal power and the channel fading from the interfering BS_i, respectively. L_k is the path loss of the associated BS_k and $L_i\,(i = 1, 2, ..., k-1, k+1, ...)$ is the path loss of the interfering BS_i. I_k is the interference aggregated at the typical MU. Furthermore, when the typical MU associated with BS_k, the coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network can be derived by

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(T) &= \Pr\{SIR_k > T\} = \mathbb{E}_{I_k, L_k}\left[e^{-\frac{TI_k}{L_k}}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{L_k}\left[\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{L_k}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x_k,\alpha_k}\left[\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{L_k}\right)\right] \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)\Pr_k^{NLoS}(x_k)\,d\theta_k\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right)\Pr_k^{LoS}(x_k)\,d\theta_k\right) f_r(r_k)\,dr, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{I_k}(s)$ denotes the Laplace transform of I_k evaluated at s, $f_r(r_k)$ is the probability density function (PDF) of the distance r_k between the typical MU and BS_k. $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ is an expectation operation.

Before calculating the coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network, probabilities of the LoS transmission and the NLoS transmission from BS_i to the typical MU should be considered firstly. The transmission from BS_i is LoS when no blockage is existed in the link between the typical MU and BS_i. Based on the Lemma 2 in [7], the number of blockages N in the link is a Poisson random variable with the mean of

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \lambda_c\left(r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl\right). \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, the probability of the LoS transmission from BS_i to the typical MU is derived by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_i^{LoS}(x_i) &= \Pr\{N=0\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Moreover, the probability of the NLoS transmission from BS_i to the typical MU is derived by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_i^{NLoS}(x_i) &= 1 - \Pr_i^{LoS}(x_i) \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The interference I_k aggregated at the typical MU associated with BS_k is further expressed as

$$I_k = \sum_{\Phi_B/x_k} L_i h_i = \sum_{\Phi_B/x_k} {r_i}^{-\alpha_i} h_i. \tag{11}$$

Based on the dual-directional path loss model and probabilities of the LoS transmission and the NLoS transmission from BS_i to the typical MU, it is straightforward to derive the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_{I_k}(s)$ of the aggregated interference I_k as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{I_k}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{I_k}\left[e^{-sI_k}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_B/x_k,\{\alpha_i\},\{h_i\}}\left[\exp\left(-s\sum_{\Phi_B/x_k} {r_i}^{-\alpha_i} h_i\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_B/x_k}\left[\prod_{\Phi_B/x_k} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha,h}\left[\exp\left(-s{r_i}^{-\alpha}h\right)\right]\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\Phi_B/x_k}\left[\prod_{\Phi_B/x_k}\left[\tfrac{e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl\right)}}{s{r_i}^{-\alpha_L}+1}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \tfrac{1-e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl\right)}}{s{r_i}^{-\alpha_N}+1}\right]\right] \\ &\overset{(a)}{=} \exp\left(-\lambda_B \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{r_k}^{\infty}\left(\tfrac{s{r}^{-\alpha_N}}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)} - \tfrac{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N} - s{r}^{-\alpha_L}\right)}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_L}+1\right)}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \times \tfrac{e^{-\lambda_c\left(rl\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta)\right| + rw\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta)\right| + wl\right)}}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)}\right) r\,dr\,d\theta\right) \\ &\overset{(b)}{\leq} \exp\left(-2\pi\lambda_B \int_{r_k}^{\infty}\left(\tfrac{s{r}^{-\alpha_N}}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)} - \tfrac{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N} - s{r}^{-\alpha_L}\right)}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_L}+1\right)}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. \times \tfrac{e^{-\lambda_c wl} I_0\left(\lambda_c r\sqrt{l^2+w^2}\right)}{\left(s{r}^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)}\right) r\,dr\right), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the step (a) of (12) is obtained by the probability generating functional (PGFL) of PPP [18] and like terms merger. The step (b) is the upper bound of the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_{I_k}(s)$ due to $r_i l\left|\sin(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + r_i w\left|\cos(\varphi-\theta_i)\right| + wl \geq r_i l\sin(\varphi-\theta_i) + r_i w\cos(\varphi-\theta_i) + wl$. For simplicity but without loss of generality, φ is assumed to be 0. It can be obtained that $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_i l\sin(\theta_i) + r_i w\cos(\theta_i) + wl\right)} d\theta = 2\pi e^{-\lambda_c wl} I_0\left(\lambda_c r\sqrt{l^2+w^2}\right)$, where $I_0(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Submitting $s = \frac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_k}}$ into (12), the coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network is derived by

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(T) = \int_0^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\left(\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)(2\pi - Q(r_k))\right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\tfrac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right) Q(r_k)\right) f_r(r_k)\,dr_k, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $Q(r_k) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\lambda_c\left(r_k l\left|\sin(\theta_k)\right| + r_k w\left|\cos(\theta_k)\right| + wl\right)} d\theta_k$, the PDF of $f_r(r_k)$ [19] is

$$f_r(r_k) = \frac{2(\pi\lambda_B)^k}{(k-1)!} {r_k}^{2k-1} e^{-\pi\lambda_B r_k^2}. \tag{14}$$

B. Average Achievable Rate

The average achievable rate is another important performance metric in a wireless communication system. It gives a maximum data rate that a cellular network can support on the fixed bandwidth. The average achievable rate normalized by the bandwidth is expressed as

$$R_{avg} = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2(1 + SIR)\right]. \tag{15}$$

According to the Assumption 4, the typical MU is assumed to associate with the BS from which the typical MU can

TABLE I
THE SIMPLIFIED USER ASSOCIATION SCHEME.

Input: Received signal powers of the typical MU, ignoring the channel fading.
Output: The selected BS.
For $i = 1\ to\ \infty$ do
  If ${r_1}^{-\alpha_1} > {r_2}^{-\alpha_2}$, the selected BS is the nearest BS,BS_1;
  Else ${r_2}^{-\alpha_2} > {r_1}^{-\alpha_1} \& {r_2}^{-\alpha_2} > {r_3}^{-\alpha_3}$, the selected BS is BS_2;
  $\vdots$
  Else ${r_k}^{-\alpha_k} = \arg\max\left\{{r_{k+1}}^{-\alpha_{k+1}}, {r_k}^{-\alpha_k}, \ldots, {r_2}^{-\alpha_2}, {r_1}^{-\alpha_1}\right\}$ (local maximum value), the selected BS is BS_k;
  End
End
As a result, the distance r_i and the corresponding path loss exponent α_i are used to determine the selected BS.

received the maximum receive signal power. The selected BS could be either the nearest BS_1, or other $BS_i\ (i = 2, 3, \ldots)$ due to the fractal characteristics of 5G wireless small cell networks [14]. Thus, the average achievable rate of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{avg} &= \mathbb{E}_k\left[\mathbb{E}_{SIR_k}\left[\log_2\left(1+SIR_k\right)\right]\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{ass}^k \times \mathbb{E}_{SIR_k}\left[\log_2\left(1+SIR_k\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where p_{ass}^k is the probability of the typical MU associated with BS_k. Due to the complexity of the user association scheme in the Assumption 4, the user association scheme is simplified by Table I. Based on the simplified user association scheme, the probability of the typical MU associated with BS_k is derived by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ass}^k = &\int\cdots\int\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k+1}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\right. \\ &\times \Pr_k^{LoS}\left(x_k\right)\Pr\left\{r_1 > r_k^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}\right\} \\ &+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\Pr_{k+1}^{NLoS}\left(x_{k+1}\right) \\ &\left.+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\Pr_{k+1}^{LoS}\left(x_{k+1}\right)\Pr\left\{r_k < r_{k+1}^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}\right\}\right) \\ &\times f\left(r_1, r_2, r_3, \cdots, r_k, r_{k+1}\right) dr_1\cdots dr_{k+1} d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_{k+1} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Proof: See Appendix.

Moreover, based on the definition of the coverage probability $p_k\left(T\right)$ in (7) which is the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) of SIR_k, the PDF of SIR_k is calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{SIR_k}\left(t\right) &= \frac{\partial\left(1-p_k(t)\right)}{\partial t} \\ &= -\int_0^{\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)}{\partial T}\left(2\pi - Q\left(r_k\right)\right)\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right)}{\partial t}Q\left(r_k\right)\right) f_r\left(r_k\right) dr_k, \end{aligned} \tag{18a}$$

with

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)}{\partial t} \overset{s=\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}}{=} \frac{1}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(s\right)}{\partial s}, \tag{18b}$$

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right)}{\partial t} \overset{s=\frac{t}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}}{=} \frac{1}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(s\right)}{\partial s}, \tag{18c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}(s)}{\partial s} &= \exp\left(-2\pi\lambda_B\int_{r_k}^{\infty}\left(\frac{sr^{-\alpha_N}}{\left(sr^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)}\right.\right. \\ &\left.-\frac{\left(sr^{-\alpha_N}-sr^{-\alpha_L}\right)e^{-\lambda_c wl}I_0\left(\lambda_c r\sqrt{l^2+w^2}\right)}{\left(sr^{-\alpha_L}+1\right)\left(sr^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)}\right) rdr\Bigg) \\ &\times\left(-2\pi\lambda_B\int_{r_k}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(sr^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)^2}-\frac{\left(s^2r^{-\alpha_N-\alpha_L}-1\right)}{\left(sr^{-\alpha_L}+1\right)^2}\right. \\ &\left.\times\frac{\left(r^{-\alpha_L-r^{-\alpha_N}}\right)}{\left(sr^{-\alpha_N}+1\right)^2}e^{-\lambda_c wl}I_0\left(\lambda_c r\sqrt{l^2+w^2}\right)\right) rdr\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{18d}$$

With the condition that the typical MU associates with BS_k, the conditional average achievable rate is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{SIR_k}\left[\log_2\left(1+SIR_k\right)\right] = \int\log_2\left(1+T\right) f_{SIR_k}\left(T\right) dT \\ &= -\int\log_2(1+T)\int_0^{\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)}{\partial T}\left(2\pi - Q\left(r_k\right)\right)\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right)}{\partial T}Q\left(r_k\right)\right) f_r\left(r_k\right) dr_k dT \\ &= -\int_0^{\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\log_2(1+T)\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_N}}\right)}{\partial T}\left(2\pi - Q\left(r_k\right)\right)\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{I_k}\left(\frac{T}{{r_k}^{-\alpha_L}}\right)}{\partial T}Q\left(r_k\right)\right) dT f_r\left(r_k\right) dr_k. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

As a result, the average achievable rate of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network is obtained by submitting formulas (16), (18), and (19) into (15).

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, numerical results of the coverage probability and the average achievable rate of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network are analyzed. The default parameters are configured as: $\lambda_B = \frac{1}{800^2\pi}$,$\lambda_C = 0.002$; $l = 15m$, $w = 10m$; $\alpha_L = 2$, $\alpha_N = 5$; $T = -5dB$.

Fig. 2 illustrates the coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to the associated BS_k and the SIR threshold T. When the SIR threshold T is fixed, the coverage probability decreases with the increase of the value of k marking the associated BS. The coverage probability decreases with the increase of the SIR threshold T when the associated BS is fixed. Moreover, the coverage probability decreases more significantly when the value of k is larger.

Fig. 3 shows the coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to the associated BS_k considering different intensities of BSs and blockages. When the intensity of BSs is fixed, the coverage probability decreases with the increase of the intensity of blockages from 0.0002 to 0.002. When the intensity of blockages is fixed, the coverage probability increases with the increase of the intensity of BSs from $\frac{1}{800^2\pi}$ to $\frac{1}{200^2\pi}$.

Fig. 4 illustrates the probability of LoS transmission with respect to the distance between a BS and a MU considering



Fig. 2. The coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to the associated BS_k and the SIR threshold T.



Fig. 4. The probability of LoS transmission with respect to the distance between a BS and a MU considering different intensities of blockages.



Fig. 3. The coverage probability of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to the associated BS_k considering different intensities of BSs and blockages.



Fig. 5. The average achievable rate of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to intensities of BSs and blockages.

different intensities of blockages. The probability of the LoS transmission decreases with the increase of the distance between a BS and a MU. The curve of the probability of the LoS transmission declines more rapidly when the intensity of blockages is larger.

Fig. 5 illustrates the average achievable rate of the 5G wireless fractal small cell network with respect to intensities of BSs and blockages. The average achievable rate increases with the increase of the intensity of BSs when the intensity of blockages is fixed and large than 0.001. The average achievable rate decreases with the increase of the intensity of blockages when the intensity of BSs is fixed and smaller than 0.0008. The peak value comes out when the intensity of BSs and blockages are in the intervals $[0.0008, 0.001]$ and $[0.0001, 0.001]$, respectively.

V. Conclusions

In this paper a dual-directional path loss model cooperating with LoS and NLoS transmissions is proposed for fractal small cell networks where the probability of LoS transmission is determined by the coordinate azimuth of the BS and the distance between a typical random MU and the BS. The coverage probability and the average achievable rate are analyzed based on the proposed path loss model. Numerical results indicate that the average achievable rate increases with increasing the intensity of BSs and blockages, respectively. Moreover, our results imply that the maximum average achievable rate is not achieved with the minimum intensity of blockages and the maximum intensity of BSs. Our results provide some guidelines for deployment of 5G fractal small cell networks.

APPENDIX

Based on the simplified user association scheme shown in Table I, the receive signal power of the selected BS has the maximum value. When the selected BS is the nearest BS_1, the conditional probability of the typical MU associated with BS_1 includes four items expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{1}\left(r_1,r_2\right)\\
&=\Pr\left\{r_1^{-\alpha_N}>r_2^{-\alpha_N}|BS_1 isNLOS,BS_2 isNLOS\right\}\\
&\times\Pr\left\{BS_1 isNLOS,BS_2 isNLOS\right\}\\
&+\Pr\left\{r_1^{-\alpha_N}>r_2^{-\alpha_L}|BS_1 isNLOS,BS_2 isLOS\right\}\\
&\times\Pr\left\{BS_1 isNLOS,BS_2 isLOS\right\}\\
&+\Pr\left\{r_1^{-\alpha_L}>r_2^{-\alpha_N}|BS_1 isLOS,BS_2 isNLOS\right\}\\
&\times\Pr\left\{BS_1 isLOS,BS_2 isNLOS\right\}\\
&+\Pr\left\{r_1^{-\alpha_L}>r_2^{-\alpha_L}|BS_1 isLOS,BS_2 isLOS\right\}\\
&\times\Pr\left\{BS_1 isLOS,BS_2 isLOS\right\},
\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

with $r_2>r_1$, and $\alpha_N>\alpha_L$. Furthermore, the probability p_{ass}^{1} of the typical MU associated with BS_1 can be derived by

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{1}=\mathrm{E}\left[p_{ass}^{1}\left(r_1,r_2\right)\right]\\
&=\tfrac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{r_2}p_{ass}^{1}\left(r_1,r_2\right)f\left(r_1,r_2\right)dr_1dr_2d\theta_1d\theta_2,
\end{aligned}\tag{21a}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{1}\left(r_1,r_2\right)\\
&=\Pr_1^{NLoS}\left(x_1\right)\times\Pr_2^{NLoS}\left(x_2\right)\\
&+\Pr\left\{r_1<r_2^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}\right\}\Pr_1^{NLoS}\left(x_1\right)\times\Pr_2^{LoS}\left(x_2\right)\\
&+\Pr_1^{LoS}\left(x_1\right)
\end{aligned},\tag{21b}$$

where $f\left(r_1,r_2\right)$ is the joint probability density function of r_1 and r_2. The entire expression of p_{ass}^{1} is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{1}=\tfrac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{r_2}\Pr_1^{NLoS}\left(x_1\right)\\
&\times\Pr_2^{NLoS}\left(x_2\right)f\left(r_1,r_2\right)dr_1dr_2d\theta_1d\theta_2\\
&+\tfrac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{r_2^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}}\Pr_1^{NLoS}\left(x_1\right)\\
&\times\Pr_2^{LoS}\left(x_2\right)f\left(r_1,r_2\right)dr_1dr_2d\theta_1d\theta_2\\
&+\tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{r_2}\Pr_1^{LoS}\left(x_1\right)f\left(r_1,r_2\right)dr_1dr_2d\theta_1
\end{aligned}.\tag{22}$$

When the selected base station is BS_k, received signal powers of BSs satisfy $r_1^{-\alpha_1}<r_2^{-\alpha_2}<\cdots<r_k^{-\alpha_k}>r_{k+1}^{-\alpha_{k+1}}$. The probability of the typical MU associated with BS_k is derived by

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{k}=\tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^{k+1}}\int\cdots\int p_{ass}^{k}\left(r_1,\cdots,r_k,r_{k+1}\right)\\
&\times f\left(r_1,\cdots,r_k,r_{k+1}\right)dr_1\cdots dr_{k+1}d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_{k+1}
\end{aligned},\tag{23a}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ass}^{k}\left(r_1,r_2,r_3,\cdots,r_k,r_{k+1}\right)\\
&=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\Pr_k^{LoS}\left(x_k\right)\Pr\left\{r_1>r_k^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}\right\}\\
&+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\Pr_{k+1}^{NLoS}\left(x_{k+1}\right)\\
&+\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\Pr_i^{NLoS}\left(x_i\right)\right)\Pr_{k+1}^{LoS}\left(x_{k+1}\right)\Pr\left\{r_k<r_{k+1}^{\alpha_L/\alpha_N}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{23b}$$

REFERENCES

[1] J. G. Andrews, S. Buzzi, W. Choi, et al., "What will 5G be?," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1065–1082, Jun. 2014.

[2] D. López-Pérez, M. Ding, H. Claussen, et al., "Towards 1 Gbps/UE in cellular systems: Understanding ultra-dense small cell deployments," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2078–2101, Fourthquarter 2015.

[3] X. Ge, S. Tu, T. Han, et al., "Energy efficiency of small cell backhaul networks based on Gauss-Markov mobile models," *IET Networks*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 158-167, Mar. 2015.

[4] T. Bai, R. Vaze and R. W. Heath, "Using random shape theory to model blockage in random cellular networks," in *Proc. 2012 International Conference on Signal Processing and Communications (SPCOM)*, Bangalore, 2012, pp. 1–5.

[5] D. Tse and V. Pramod, "Fundamentals of wireless communication," *Cambridge university press*, 2005.

[6] G. Mao, B. D. O. Anderson, B. Fidan, "Path loss exponent estimation for wireless sensor network localization," in *Proc. Global Telecommunications Conference*, Nov, 2016.

[7] T. Bai, R. Vaze and R. W. Heath, "Analysis of blockage effects on urban cellular networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 5070–5083, Sept. 2014.

[8] J. G. Andrews, F. Baccelli and R. K. Ganti, "A tractable approach to coverage and rate in cellular networks," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 3122–3134, Nov. 2011.

[9] H. S. Dhillon, R. K. Ganti, F. Baccelli, et al., "Modeling and analysis of K-tier downlink heterogeneous cellular networks," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 550–560, Apr. 2012.

[10] S. Singh, H. S. Dhillon and J. G. Andrews, "Offloading in heterogeneous networks: Modeling, analysis, and design insights," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 2484–2497, May 2013.

[11] T. Bai and R. W. Heath, "Coverage and rate analysis for millimeter-wave cellular networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1100–1114, Feb. 2015.

[12] X. Zhang and J. G. Andrews, "Downlink cellular network analysis with multi-slope path loss models," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1881–1894, May 2015

[13] M. Ding, P. Wang, D. López-Pérez, et al., "Performance impact of LoS and NLoS transmissions in dense cellular networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 2365–2380, March 2016.

[14] X. Ge, Y. Qiu, J. Chen, et al., "Wireless fractal cellular networks," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 23, no. 5, Oct. 2016.

[15] X. Ge, M. Huang, J. Chen, et al., "Wireless single cellular coverage boundary models," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 3569–3577, 2016.

[16] A. Goldsmith, "Wireless communications," *Cambridge university press*, 2005.

[17] S. Sadr and R. S. Adve, "Handoff rate and coverage analysis in multi-tier heterogeneous networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2626–2638, May 2015.

[18] S. N. Chiu, D. Stoyan, W. S. Kendall, et al. "Stochastic geometry and its applications," *John Wiley & Sons*, 2013.

[19] D. Moltchanov, "Distance distributions in random networks," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1146–1166, 2012.